GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS. Secta. II-28.—60,006—31-10-50.

Revenue (195 /) Department.

Confdl

~~Endorsement~~
~~D.O.~~
Memorandum
~~Lodged~~

No. G/342 RT 57-2

Dated 16-11-1951

D.O.

Back ~~FURTHER~~ PAPERS.

Department.	Number.	Series.	Date.
Rev	2731	G.O. Ms (Confdl)	13-10-51

CONFIDENTIAL:

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject

SATYANARAYANAMURTHY, SRI J. -Deputy Collector - Fixation of seniority - Break in service - Condonation for counting for increment - Petition - Remarks submitted.

Ref.No.D.10435/51-1(C).

Station:-Madras. Dated 26th October 1951.

From

Sri A.F.Mascarenhas, I.A.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sri V.S.Hejmadi, I.C.S., Commissioner of Civil Supplies & Food Production.

Read:- From Sri J.Satyanarayanamurthy, petition dated 26-8-1951.
Government Memo.No.61342-B/51-1, Revenue Department, dated 29-9-1951.

REFERENCE:

Submitted to the Government.

2. In the proposals for the year ended 31-3-1946, the Bo classified Sri J.Satyanarayanamurthy among those not then fit for inclusion. The Madras Public Service Commission and the Government agreed with this view - vide para 5 of G.O.Ms.No.60 Revenue, dated 12-3-1947. The Board recommended his inclusion the B-List, in the proposals for the year ended 31-3-1947. The Madras Public Service Commission agreed with the Board and the Government passed orders accordingly - vide para 4(ii) of G.O. Confidential Ms.1768, Revenue, dated 27-7-1948.

3. When the question of his regularisation of service was taken up in 1948, in the first instance, the Board did no recommend his name for inclusion in the A-List. But when th Madras Public Service Commission proposed his name for inclu the Board agreed with the Commission. At that time, the Depu Collector was on special duty in Hyderabad State. The Govern of Hyderabad noticed that the Deputy Collector purchased so lands in the name of his daughter in contravention of the Go ment Servants' Conduct Rules and framed charges against him. He was also placed under suspension. On account of these cha and the enquiry pending against him, the inclusion of his nam in the 'A' list was deferred. The Board invites the attention of the Government to its Ref.D.7671/50(C), dated 19-9-50 and Government Memo.No.66600-B/50-1, Revenue, dated 23-11-1950.

4. The Board examined the cases of all the Deputy Collectors whose services had to be regularised and recommended that the candidates might take their rank in the respecti communities with reference to the date of their commence of service as Deputy Collectors under Rule 4-B and that or more of them commenced service on the same date, the ing order of age might be adopted. Though many of the c whose regularisation of appointment was already ordered continuously acting as Deputy Collectors from earlier was held that they could have their services regularis from 23-8-1947 as they had to take their rank after S Satyanarayanamurthy. The Government accordingly pass in their order No.535, Revenue, dated 5-3-1951 fixin seniority of the petitioner above others.

5. The eighteen Deputy Collectors (Nos.2 to

the annexure to G.O.535, Revenue, dated 5-3-1951) who, the petitioner states, are his juniors, were included in the B-list in 1946, prior to the petitioner's inclusion in that list. The Board submits that fixation of the petitioner's seniority above those who were included in the B-list prior to the petitioner's inclusion in that list, is itself a concession. The Board considers that the further concession to condone the break in service or to grant an advance increment sought for by the petitioner is not reasonable as fixation of seniority was ordered in 1951 long after the actual appointments were made.

Secretary.

To

The Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras (with a petition).

PVV. 26.10.

To

The Secretary to the Government,

Revenue Department.

Fort St.George, M A D R A S.

Through

1) The Special Officer,

T. B. Project, B E L L A R Y.

ii) The Secretary,



Board of Revenue, M A D R A S.

Sub: DEPUTY COLLECTORS - Satyanarayana Murthy - Sri. J - Regularization of Service - Fixation of Pay and Sanction of increments - REQUESTED.

Ref: Notification No.97 dated 5th March, 1951 published at page 461 of Part I of the Fort St.George Gazette dated March 20, 1951.

I humbly beg to submit that my Temporary service as Deputy Collector was regularised with effect from 23rd August 1947, but my pay at the Revised rate has not so far been fixed.

I submit that after the date of commencement of Probation fixed for me, I had a break in service from 30-9-1947 to 4-3-1948, during which period the first (18) eighteen Deputy Collectors placed below me in the Notification cited above continued without a break, for reasons beyond my control and that these 18 of my juniors have been given the benefit of this service for drawing increments in the time scale. I therefore beg to submit that in view of the fact that many of my juniors are having the benefit of service between these two dates (30-9-47 and 4-3-48) for drawing increments, I pray that the Government be pleased either to condone this break in service or to sanction me an advance increment covering that period under Fundamental Rule 27 (Par) to place me on a pay with my juniors.

P.T.O.

I finally beg to request that (i) My pay on 23-8-1947 at the revised rate with reference to Rules then in force may be fixed and (ii) the two increments which fell due on 23-8-1949 and 23-8-51 may be sanctioned as early as possible.

Satyanarayanamurthy

Special Deputy Collector No.IV.
for Land Acquisition,
~~Tungabhadra Project, Adoni.~~
Bellary District.

ADONI.
D/26-8-1951.

Copy submitted direct.



D/0435

N Dis 3565/51 C 29.8.51

Forwarded

Special Officer,
T.B.P., Bellary.

To The Secretary
Bd of Revenue,
Madras

5/

Rev Deptt

Memo No 61362 B/57-2 d 16.11.57

Dy. Collr - Sahyanarayanamurthi Sri J - Fixation of seniority Condonation of break in service - Petition

From Sri J. Sahyanarayanamurthi d 26.8.57 From the Dy. Collr for Land Acqn, T.B. Project, Kellary No: 3585/57-C

From the Bd of Rev Rc No D. 4298/57 L6435/57-1 (1) d 26.10.57.

The Govt consider that fixation of the petitioner's seniority above those who were included in the B-list in 1946 prior to the petitioner's inclusion in that list, is itself a concession and they are not prepared to grant any further concession to condone the break in service or to grant an advance increment as requested by the petitioner. The petitioner's request is rejected

2. As regards fixation of his pay, the petitioner is requested to address the Audit Officer, T.B. Project, Bellary.

16/11/57

15.11.57

To

The petitioner

Thro' the Bd of Rev (LR)

The Bd of Rev (LR)

The Audit Officer, T.B. Project, Bellary.

The Special Officer T.B. Project, Bellary.

with a copy of petition d 26.8.57 and 3 cf.

Rev Deptt

C.No 6/342/57 ~~7574~~ B/57.

Dy collrs - Satyanarayana murthy (Sri) J -
Fixation of Seniority - Break in Service -
Condonation for Counting for increment
petition

Pp 1-ref Refce :- From the Board of Rev Ref No D. 10435/57-1(C)
d 26.10.57.

To AS.

Please see the Board's refce and its enclosure in the CP.

para 2 of p35 cf 1(2) 2. In October 1948, the Board and the H.P.S.C. ~~Recommended~~ considered that among others, the petitioner Sri J. Satyanarayana murthy was fit for inclusion in the approved list of Dy collrs. The petitioner was then working as Deputy Civil Administrator in Hyderabad. The Hyderabad
of 'A' on p47 cf 1(2) Govt brought to the notice of this Govt that the petitioner had acquired immovable property in Nizamabad in his daughter's name in contravention of the G.S.C. Rules. The Hyderabad Govt has requested to have a departmental enquiry made against the Officer. This Govt therefore ~~decided~~ directed that pending the result of the enquiry, ~~that~~ the petitioners name ~~will~~ would not be included in the 'A' list. ~~Subsequently~~ Subsequently, the Govt
para 2 on p50 cf 1(D) of Hyderabad informed this Govt that the departmental enquiry against the petitioner revealed that he had not violated the G.S.C. Rules
Pp 1-ref & 'A' on p3 cf 1(H) In Sep '50, the Board and the H.P.S.C. Recommended among others that the name of the petitioner ~~might~~ be included in the 'A' list. The Recommendations of the Board of Revenue and the H.P.S.C. were accepted and orders
Pp 8-9 cf 1(H) were issued accordingly in GO Rt No 2731 Rev d 13-10-50. The petitioner's services were also
'A' on p3 cf 1(J) regularised with effect from 23-8-47.

3. The petitioner now requests:

1.) that his pay on 23-8-47 may be fixed at the revised rates
2) that the two increments which fell due on 23-8-48 & 23-8-49 may be sanctioned after condoning the break in service from 30-8-47 to 4-3-48 or an advance increment may be granted

Request (1) above.

The petitioner has been working as Special Dy collr, T.B. Project Service 31-5-50. His pay would have been fixed with refree to the orders in G.O. Ms 535 Revenue dt 5-3-51 by the Audit Officer, T.B. Project. However, the petitioner may be asked to address the Audit Officer, T.B. Projects, in the matter.

Request (2) above

When the question of fixing the seniority of 63 'B' list Dy collrs who were included in the 'A' list in three batches was taken up, the petitioner Sri T. Satyanarayanamurthy was given the first rank among the 63 Dy collrs in view of the fact that his inclusion in the 'A' list was deferred on account of the departmental enquiry which was pending against him then. The petitioner has stated that 18 of his juniors have continued to act as Dy collrs from 30-8-47 to 4-3-48 during which period the petitioner had break in service and that they have been given the benefit of that service for drawing increments in the time scale. The Board has stated that the juniors to the petitioner referred to above were included in 'B' list in 1946 prior to the petitioner's inclusion in that list and that the fixation of the petitioner's seniority above those who were included in the 'B' list prior

C.NO 61342-B/11 to the petitioner's inclusion in that list is his reversion leaving as ranwidan from 30-9-47 to 4-3-48 is due to want of a vacancy in itself a concession. The Board considers that the further concession to condone the break in service or to grant an advance increment sought for by the petitioner is not reasonable as fixation of seniority was ordered in 1951 long after the actual appointments were made. It is for orders whether the Board's recommendation may be accepted and the petitioner's request rejected.

B
8/11/52

5/11/52

[Draft memo will be submitted after orders are passed on 'A' supra vide 'B' above.]

8/11/52

13/11

S
9/11

S
Hm (LR)

H.D. (A-R)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
15 NOV 1951
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Please see the notes on pp 1-3 above the orders in circulation above A draft memo is submitted for approval

16/11/52

15/11/52

C 19/11